

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

Application number: 89420500.4

Int. Cl.⁵: H04N 1/40, H04N 1/46

Date of filing: 20.12.89

Priority: 05.01.89 US 293838

Date of publication of application:
11.07.90 Bulletin 90/28

Designated Contracting States:
DE GB

Applicant: **EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY**
343 State Street
Rochester, New York 14650(US)

Inventor: **Lee, Hsien-Che** c/o Eastman Kodak Company
Patent Department 343 State Street
Rochester New York 14650(US)
Inventor: **Kaplan, Martin Charles** c/o Eastman Kodak Company
Patent Department 343 State Street
Rochester New York 14650(US)
Inventor: **Goodwin, Robert Melvin** c/o Eastman Kodak Company
Patent Department 343 State Street
Rochester New York 14650(US)

Representative: **Parent, Yves et al**
Kodak-Pathé Département Brevets et
Licences CRT 60/1 -Zone Industrielle
F-71102 Chalon-sur-Saône Cédex(FR)

54 An interactive dynamic range adjustment system for printing digital images.

57 An interactive dynamic range adjustment method for printing digital images, and an implementation system, are disclosed. The method is based on experimental findings about visual photoreceptor adaptation and human visual contrast sensitivity. The system adjusts the contrast of the low-frequency component only of the image, preserving (or if one wishes, enhancing) the high-frequency image component in its contrast. The adjustment is controlled by a mapping curve which the user manipulates interactively. The simulated optical print image and the dynamic range adjusted image are displayed side by side on a monitor screen so that the user can make proper selection of parameters to achieve the desired effect. For many images, the system automatically computes good parameters and no further adjustment is needed.




FIG. 3

AN INTERACTIVE DYNAMIC RANGE ADJUSTMENT SYSTEM FOR PRINTING DIGITAL IMAGES

The present invention is related to the field of digital image processing and, more particularly, to a system and associated software for interactively adjusting the dynamic range of digital images prior to printing.

A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material to which a claim of copyright protection is made. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction of any one of the patent documents or the patent disclosure, as it appears in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office patent file or records, but reserves all other rights whatsoever.

One of the many surprises to an amateur photographer is the way a back-lit scene looks on a photographic print. To the best of his/her recollection, in the original scene there was nothing like the huge brightness difference on the print between the highlight and the shadow on the subject's face. Our visual systems apparently do not process the flat two dimensional photographic print the same way as they do for the three-dimensional scene image. For this reason, one has to process the image in a compensatory way before it is printed on paper in order to make it look like the original scene. Another problem with printing an image having a wide dynamic range in luminance on paper is the limitation inherent in any reflection material, that is, the narrow useful dynamic range is limited by flare light.

Since a good camera/film system can easily record a density range of 1.6 with a gamma equal to 0.65, the recorded useful exposure range is about 300:1. However, the density range on a photographic print, over which we can easily see image detail is from 0.12 to 1.8, which gives a luminance range of 50:1. There is more information on the film than can be effectively expressed on the print without some type of dynamic range compression. A common way to deal with this problem is the darkroom burning and dodging operation, which is the process to change the local exposure on paper by moving a piece of opaque material in front of it. This process essentially reduces the density difference between a region and its surround. It takes skill, patience, and time to produce a good print with such a process.

If one can scan the film image to convert it to digital form, one can do similar types of manipulation faster and with more precise controls with the help of a high-speed computer. The present invention is an interactive dynamic range adjustment system with associated software which has been implemented on a workstation with a keyboard and a mouse for user input. For an image with a wide

dynamic range, one cannot simply compress the image signal proportionally at every point because, by doing so, the processed image will look very "flat" and visually unacceptable. The basic idea is

to separate the image into its low frequency and high-frequency components, and only perform the compression on the low-frequency component. The invention relies on an understanding of the image processing of the human visual system when it is dealing with a wide dynamic range scene.

The simple analogy that our eye functions like a camera overlooks the enormous image processing going on in the retina and the brain. Not only can the eye adapt to see over a luminance range of at least a billion to one, but it also can give a fairly constant perception of color and brightness despite the large variation in the illumination across the scene.

One of the visual adaptation processes occurs in the photoreceptors. There appear to be two mechanisms that operate during photoreceptor light adaptation, allowing the cell to continue to respond from very dim light to very bright light. First, when receptors are illuminated with continuous light, the membrane potential gradually and partially returns towards dark levels, bringing the receptor below its saturation level and making it capable of responding to brighter light. Secondly, if the steady background light intensity is increased, the receptor intensity-response curve shifts to the high-intensity range. In Figure 1, taken from Figure 7.14c of the Retina: An Approachable Part of the Brain, by J. E. Dowling, Harvard University Press, 1987, the V-log I curves representing the peak responses of gecko photoreceptors are illustrated for a dark-adapted state (DA) and first and second background intensities $\log I = -4.2$ and -2.2 . In photographic terms, the receptor essentially changes its film speed according to the background light intensity. If the background light is weak, it uses a high-speed film. If the background is very bright, it changes to a very low-speed film. However, this is different from photography because the change of film speed occurs locally on the same image, i.e., the retina uses different speed films at different parts of an image. Applying the same idea to printing photographic images, one can change the effective paper speed at different parts of an image by computers. This is the central idea of the present invention.

There are two major questions one has to answer at this moment:

- What size is the so-called background that the photoreceptors are adapting to?
- What characteristic of the background determines

the adaptation state? Is it the average irradiance, the average log irradiance, or something else?

To answer the first question, one needs to understand roughly what adaptation does to the original scene irradiance image. Basically, the operation of adaptation is to take something out of the input stimulus, and what is taken out depends on what is being adapted to. If the adaptation is to the average irradiance of the surrounding background, i.e., the average irradiance is used to shift the intensity-response curve of the photoreceptor without changing the curve shape, then the effect of adaptation is to reduce the incident light intensity by an amount which is a function f of the average irradiance of the adapting background. If f is a linear function, then the effect of adaptation is effectively a high-pass filter. The magnitudes of the low-frequency components of the input image are decreased. To be locally adaptive, the background cannot be the whole image. On the other hand, it has to cover a reasonably large area so that the cell will not "adapt out" the very fine image detail. In the extreme case, if a cell can adapt fully to a very tiny spot of light just covering its size, every cell will have an identical response, and there will be no image left. In terms of spatial frequency content, the smaller the area of the adapting background is, the higher the affected frequencies will be. Presumably, the compromise must be made so that the trade-off between the visible image detail and the adaptive dynamic range is optimal for the organism's survival. Any optical system is limited by diffraction and aberration on the high spatial frequency end. For visual perception, there is also good reason to be insensitive to the low frequency variation so that slow changes in illumination and surface nonuniformity will not interfere with the perception of a physical object as a whole.

If one assumes that the low frequency response of the human visual system is determined by local adaptation along the visual pathway, including stages beyond the photoreceptor (e.g., lateral inhibition in the neural pathway), then one can get a good measure of how large an equivalent area a receptor cell takes as its adapting background by looking at the data for the human visual contrast sensitivity function (CSF). For a human eye with a 2.5 mm pupil looking at scenes of high luminance, the peak sensitivity is at about 5-8 cycles/degree. At 2.25 cycles per degree the sensitivity is roughly half the peak value. It will be seen later from the data set out in the Description of the Preferred Embodiment that the optimal adapting field size for the dynamic range adjustment of the present system has a close relation to these numbers.

To answer the second question, one has to hypothesize the mechanism in the center and its

interaction with the surround along the visual pathway. If the strength of mutual inhibition depends on the output from each of the interacting neurons, and the neuron's response is proportional to the logarithm of the incident light irradiance, then what determines the adaptation is more likely to be the average log irradiance than the average irradiance. The input response function of a neuron or a photoreceptor is usually nonlinear, and it seems that for a relatively large range of input the photoreceptor response is roughly proportional to the logarithm of the input intensity, rather than to the intensity itself. Based on this type of reasoning, the average density of the surround will be used to control the local adaptation in the dynamic range adjustment of the present system. However, it is not clear from a physical or a mathematical point of view whether average density is definitely a better choice than average exposure.

From the above discussion, a dynamic range adjustment method can be presented as follows. A pixel in the input negative image (only the luminance component) is at the center of an N by N block. Its final density on the print will depend on how bright its surround is, which is measured by the weighted average density of its N by N neighboring pixels (including itself). Usually, if its surround is bright, the pixel will be printed darker, meaning that the cell has adapted to the bright surround. The way the pixel is printed darker is to subtract a fraction of the averaged surround density from the pixel's input negative density (this is equivalent to shifting the photoreceptor's intensity-response curve to the right). Reducing the pixel's density is equivalent to reducing the pixel's original scene exposure, and when the reduced negative density is finally mapped through the paper D -log H curve, the pixel is printed darker. If the fraction of the averaged surround density subtracted from a pixel is a constant, independent of the averaged density level, then the whole process is essentially unsharp masking, or high pass filtering in density space. This is easily seen from the fact that the weighted averaging process is a low-pass filter and, if a constant fraction of the low-pass filter image is subtracted from the original image, the result is a high pass filtered version of the input image. The unsharp masking operation has been used under a different name in digital image processing, the homomorphic transform (see, for example, "Image Processing in the Context of a Visual Model," Proc IEEE, Vol. 60, No. 7, pp. 828-842, July 1972) which has been shown to compress the dynamic range of images. The operations of unsharp masking and homomorphic transform differ in their exact filter response shape, because the former is meant to be a sharpening operation, while the latter is a dynamic range compressor.

However, the subtracted fraction need not be a constant. It can and should be a function of the averaged density. It is precisely by controlling the functional shape that one can control where and how much one wants to compress and expand the dynamic range of the printed image. A good way to exercise this type of control is to let the user manipulate this function interactively, looking at the density histogram and the processed image as feedback for adjusting the function parameters.

These and other objects of the present invention will become more apparent when taken in conjunction with the following description and drawings wherein like characters indicate like parts, and which drawings form a part of this application.

Figure 1 is a chart illustrating curves for the peak responses of gecko photoreceptors plotted on log-log coordinates.

Figure 2 is a block perspective diagram of a system for implementing the present invention.

Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating the function blocks of one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating the mapping of the low-frequency component of an input negative density to a CRT code value.

Figure 5 illustrates the screen of a CRT monitoring device when implementing the present invention at an operating position.

Figure 6 illustrates an example of a dynamic range adjustment curve generated by the present invention.

Figure 7 is a block diagram modification of the block diagram of Figure 3 illustrating the function blocks of a second embodiment of the invention.

A basic system of an interactive image processor on which the present invention is implemented is illustrated in Figure 2. The basic components of such a system are an image scanner 12 for converting an image 10 into electrical signals which may be analog or digital in nature. If analog signals are outputted by the image scanner 12, an analog-to-digital converter 14 is used to provide digital signals which are used by a processor 16. The processor contains memory, ALU, temporary registers and control circuitry for operating the processor under software and user control. Expanded memory is provided with a disk memory 18. A high resolution terminal 30 provides the user with visual information and a keyboard through which user information is directed to the basic system. Additional inputting devices can supplement the user keyboard. These devices may be, for example, a mouse 31 having activating buttons A, B and C, light pen and pad or joy-stick (not shown) for controlling a cursor.

A printer 20 is connected to the processor 16

and to the terminal 30 for receiving a processed digital image from the processor and for printing a hard copy of the image.

Referring to Figure 3, digital signals representing an input R,G,B image are directed to an R, G, B to luminance and chrominance transformation matrix 100 wherein signals corresponding to a luminance image and a chrominance image are generated and appear at two different outputs. The luminance image signals are directed to the + input of a summing circuit 110 and to a low-pass (Gaussian) filter 120. The filter 120 provides a first output to a - input of the summing circuit 110 and a second output to a dynamic range adjustment curve block 140. The summer 110 provides at its output a difference signal which is the difference between the luminance image signal and the low-pass filtered luminance signal. The difference signal is directed to an optional edge-sharpening and noise suppression block 130 which may be used to provide a curve for modifying the high frequency component of the difference signal. The output from block 130 is directed to one + input of a summing circuit 150 and the output of block 140 is directed to a second + input of the summing circuit 150. The summing circuit combines the two signals on its inputs and provides the combined signals to an input of a luminance and chrominance to R,G,B transformation block 160. The transformation block 160 also receives the chrominance signals from block 100 at another input and transforms and combines the two signals to provide an R,G,B signal to a paper shape curve block 170. The paper shape curve block 170 functions to modify the R,G,B signals from transformation block 160 by the characteristics of the paper upon which the image is to be printed. The output image signals are then directed to the printer 20 for printing.

The chrominance image may also be processed in a manner similar to the luminance image as illustrated in Figure 3.

The paper shape curve block 170 can cause non-linear effects in the image which result in the chrominance image being altered by the improved luminance image. This alteration may be desired, or it may be unwanted. If it is unwanted, then the processing may be done according to the embodiment illustrated in Figure 7. In this case, the luminance image is processed the same way as was illustrated in Figure 3 down through the summing circuit 150 (or 350 in Figure 7) while the chrominance image receives a different processing which prevents its alteration by the improved luminance image. Referring to Figure 7 beyond the summing circuit block 350, the luminance image is passed through a luminance paper shape curve block 360. The luminance paper shape curve block 360 func-

tions to modify the luminance signal by the characteristics of the paper upon which the image is to be printed. The input R,G,B image is put through the paper shape curve block 370. This paper shape curve block 370 functions to modify the original chrominance image as carried on the original luminance image by the characteristics of the paper upon which the image is to be printed. The R,G,B signals from the paper shape curve block 370 are then directed to the R,G,B to luminance transformation (matrix) function block 380 wherein signals corresponding to a luminance image and a chrominance image are generated. Only the chrominance image signal appears at the output of block 380. The chrominance image from block 380 and the luminance image from block 360 are recombined in the luminance/chrominance to R,G,B transformation (matrix) block 390. This transformation block 390 recreates the R,G,B image required for the printing device. All of the above may be implemented with the processor 16 operating under software control.

The present invention is concentrated on the manipulation of the curve which is used to modify the low-frequency component to achieve the dynamic range adjustment, shown in Figure 3 as block 140. This curve will be called the dynamic range adjustment curve. The input low-frequency component from the low-pass filter 120 is mapped through the curve to a density space called the normalized density (see Figure 6), which means that a density is not only contrast adjusted, but also density balanced in the sense that a fixed normalized density is always mapped to a fixed paper density. In particular, the normalized density of 1.28 is mapped to status A density of 0.8 on the paper.

The main questions for any implementation are what type of weighted average should be used, and how large should its support be. Since a Gaussian filter (or the difference of Gaussians) is often used in psychophysical experiments to fit the shapes of the spatial frequency channels of the human visual system, and since it has a smooth shape (a smooth shape being necessary to avoid ringing artifacts), it is chosen as the low-pass filter 120 for this application. The choice of filter size is not so obvious. Two approaches are possible. One is to process the same image through the system with filters of many different sizes, and let a group of people choose from them the best looking one. The other is to choose a filter size which will give a final frequency response similar to the low-frequency portion of the human contrast sensitivity function, as described in the previous section.

In the preferred embodiment of the invention, digital images were scanned from 35 mm negatives (36 mm by 24 mm in dimension). They were

all of the same size: 1932 by 1308 pixels. For a typical 35 mm camera with a focal length of 50 mm, the angle of view is about 39.6 degrees in 1932 pixels. There are 46.8 pixels per degree at the center. A Gaussian filter with standard deviation = σ pixels has a half height response frequency of $0.1874/\sigma$ cycles/pixel. If the half height frequency for the human contrast sensitivity function is 2.25 cycles/degree, which corresponds to 0.0481 cycles/pixel, then a proper size of the Gaussian filter is $\sigma = 0.1874/0.0481 = 3.90$ pixels. This somewhat simplified calculation shows that the best print should be around $\sigma = 4.0$ pixels. Note that one of the goals of the system is to make the print look like the scene as the human visual system sees it. The image processing is therefore trying to duplicate the visual processing that occurs when viewing the original scene, not when viewing the print, and it is the scene viewing condition which is important, not the print viewing condition. Therefore, the above calculated result should be dependent on the film size and the camera focal length, but not too much on the print viewing distance and printer/scanner MTF's (modulation transfer function). Of course, the scanner MTF can affect the frequency content of the scanned image, and can be the dominating factor when it is affecting the spatial frequencies lower than 8 cycles/degree (peak visual sensitivity) in the original scene, or 0.171 cycles/pixel on the scanned image, or 9.2 cycles/mm on the negative. Since a typical 35 mm negative film has an "MTF" of 0.5 at 30 cycles/mm or more, a scanner MTF should have an MTF value almost equal to 1.0 at 9.2 cycles/mm, otherwise the scanned image is probably too blurry to be useful. Although the print viewing distance determines the spatial frequencies of the image features, it seems that as far as tone scale is concerned, the images processed by the system do not change their tone scale quality much, if at all, when viewed at different distances from 5 inches to 20 inches. Some image detail disappears at a large viewing distance, but the tone scale perception is not noticeably affected. Why this is so is not clear, but this observation seems to confirm the above statement that the viewing condition is not very important in determining the filter size. One can only conclude that the brightness perception for a flat surface is relatively independent of spatial frequency.

From the above discussion, the best choice for the filter size is about $\sigma = 4.0$ pixels.

However, since the low-frequency content of the image is degraded a little compared with the high-frequency components, the image tends to look somewhat harsher than the optical. The current implementation has intentionally made it look softer by using a small σ of 3.66 pixels, when convolving

with the full-size image.

An interactive software program called LOFCA (low-frequency contrast adjustment) has been implemented on a SUN workstation, (a product of Sun Microsystems Inc.) using C language and the SUN-VIEW window package. The software program is set out in full in Appendix A. This section will describe the implementation of LOFCA.

The most time-consuming operation in the algorithm is the Gaussian convolution with a 23 by 23 mask (3σ on each side). Although the mask is separable in x and y, it still takes about 6-8 minutes on a SUN4/280 workstation with 32 megabytes of memory to finish a 1932 by 1308 image. It is, therefore, preferable to subsample or block-average the original scanned image to reduce the size for the interactive processing. This will affect the judgment of image structure on the monitor screen, but seems to provide adequate images for contrast and tone scale judgment. The input image (1932 by 1308) is 4 by 4 block-averaged in film transmittance, reducing the size to 483 by 327 pixels. A luminance image is created from the reduced R,G,B image by the weighting function: luminance = $3/8R + 4/8G + 1/8B$. The following operations are done by LOFCA Program on an input image before the monitor screen displays the images for user interaction:

- The luminance image is convolved with a 7 by 7 Gaussian filter with $\sigma = 1$ pixel to produce the low-pass image. This takes about 20 seconds on SUN3/260 with 8 megabytes and a floating point accelerator.

- A high-pass image is also computed by subtracting the low-pass image from the luminance image.
- Both the low pass and the high-pass images are stored in memory as short integers (empirically this has been found to be the fastest data type for arithmetic operations on a SUN3/260).

- The low-pass image is mapped through the dynamic range adjustment curve (implemented as a look-up table 200, see Figure 4), and then added to the high-pass image. The combined high-pass and adjusted low-pass image is directed to a paper shape curve (implemented as a look-up table 210) to produce the output image which, after going through a screen calibration table 220, is displayed on the monitor 30 for the user to compare with the simulated best optical print.

- As soon as the user changes any parameter of the dynamic range adjustment curve, a new look-up table 200 is computed. The low-pass image is mapped through the new table and added to the high-pass image to produce a new output image, which is then displayed. The whole process takes less than half a second, and the monitor image appears to change almost instantly.

- When the user decides that the output image is

good, he/she selects the "output" button with the mouse 31, and the program writes out the dynamic range adjustment look-up table 200, which is used to process the full sized image.

To speed up the processing of many images, one can have it processed in the background while manipulating the contrast of the current image, thus eliminating waiting time.

One key component in the user interaction is the screen calibration. It is very important to make the image on the monitor screen look like the final print on photographic paper. Otherwise, the user has to mentally correct for the difference when looking at the displayed image on the monitor. The LOFCA Program provides a button for the user to adjust the calibration curve parameters. The calibration curve maps the normalized film density through the paper shape curve 210 and the monitor CRT characteristic function table 220 to the CRT code value, such that the displayed image will have the proper radiance at each pixel location to make it look like a print on paper. The deeper question of the human visual adaptation state when viewing the CRT monitor is not explicitly addressed in the calibration so far. The goal is that if pixel P is to be printed at status A density 0.7 and pixel Q at 1.0, then the CRT screen should display P at twice the radiance as that of Q ($10^{1.0-0.7} \approx 2$). This only takes care of the physical calibration on a relative scale.

The psychophysical calibration is much more involved and the LOFCA Program lets the user adjust two parameters of the calibration curve: the gamma of the CRT and the status A density to be mapped to the CRT code value 128 (shown as a CAL input 254 to look-up table 220). With these adjustments, the user must try many images before he/she is perceptually calibrated. Referring to Figure 5, another help that the LOFCA Program provides is the capability to display, anywhere on the blank area of the image canvases 40 and 40', 20 step gray scales 42 and 42' starting from status A density 0.1 (actually 0.11, because this is the minimum density of the paper used) with an increment of 0.1. The gray scale is specified by clicking any two points outside of the image area, but within the canvas. If the user has difficulty judging the absolute brightness of an area in the processed image, the gray scale helps make the absolute judgment into relative judgment, which is much easier and more reliable. All these features are desirable for compensating for the lack of control over the room lighting, monitor differences, and variations in individuals' perception.

Figure 5 show the screen 50 when the LOFCA Program is run on a SUN workstation. There are four canvases and two panels: the optical print canvas 40, the output image canvas 40', the histogram canvas 45, the contrast curve canvas 46

optical control panel 47, and the contrast control panel 48. The optical print canvas 40 displays the simulated optical print 44, and the output image canvas 40 displays the processed image 44. Both canvases are dimensioned as 512 by 512, and the program will not accept images larger than 512 on either side. The histogram canvas 45 displays the density histogram of the input image. The contrast curve canvas 46 displays the normalized density vs. input negative density curve (see Figure 6 for details about this curve), as well as the output status A density vs. input negative density curve. These curves have center segments highlighted. They correspond to the middle segment of the dynamic range adjustment curve, specified by the pivot density and the pivot range, explained in more detail later. The corresponding middle region of the histogram is also highlighted.

The convention of the usage of the mouse buttons (shown in Figure 2) is as follows. The left mouse button A is used to select items and set values. The right mouse button C is used for clearing up the canvases. For example, if the gray scale is not wanted, it can be erased by clicking the right button of the mouse in the canvas you want to clear. The middle mouse button is not used in the current implementation.

The density balance for the simulated optical print is done by adjusting the sliders in the optical control panel 48, which has two sliders: one for status A density, and the other for transfer density (See Figure 5). On the right-hand side of each slider, there are two buttons for incrementing + and decrementing - the corresponding slider value by 1, for fine adjustment, while coarse adjustments are made directly to the sliders. The negative density shown in the transfer density slider is mapped to the status A density value shown in the status A density slider. The sliders are selectively activated and incremented by the use of a cursor.

The contrast control panel 47 has six sliders and ten buttons. The displayed slider values are the real values multiplied by 100 (e.g., a slope of 0.3 is displayed as 30, and a density of 0.8, 80). Again, on the right-hand side of each slider, there are two buttons for incrementing and decrementing the corresponding slider value by 1.

- "status A density" slider
- "pivot density" slider
- "pivot range" slider
- "lower slope" slider
- "mid slope" slider
- "upper slope" slider
- "input" button
- "<", "v", and ">" buttons for rotating the images
- "SHOW" button
- "Reset slope" button
- "Cal" button

- "SetROI" button
- "Output" button
- "Quit" button

The "input" button will ask the user for the name of the next image to be processed. After the input image name is entered, the program computes the low pass and the high-pass images. It takes roughly 20 seconds for the SUN3/260 before the processed image and the simulated optical print are displayed on the monitor screen.

The dynamic range adjustment curve is initialized to be a straight line, which is determined by its slope and one point for density balance. From the paper curve, one can estimate the negative density range which can be printed between 0.12 and 1.8 paper density. This range is called the usable negative density range, and is estimated to be 0.9. The first and the 99th percentile densities of the input image density histogram are called d_{min} and d_{max} . The initial slope is estimated to be $0.9/(d_{max} - d_{min} + 0.01)$ and the initial density balance point is to map $(d_{min} + d_{max})/2$ to the normalized density 1.28, which is then mapped to status A density 0.8. The initial estimated slope value is limited to be less than 1.26 and greater than 0.65. These two limits were empirically determined after printing many images. In general, if the slope value exceeds this range, the image tends to look artificial. These initial estimates frequently need no further interactive adjustments.

Referring now to Figure 6 and Figure 4, the dynamic range adjustment curve is a piecewise linear curve, usually having three segments. The middle segment is determined by its slope and center point. The slope is controlled by the "mid slope" slider 250, and the center point is controlled by the "pivot density" slider 244 and the "status A density" slider 240. The length of the middle segment is controlled by the "pivot range" slider 246. The upper and the lower segments are always connected to the end points of the middle segment, and thus have only one degree of freedom. The user can change the slopes of the upper and the lower segments by moving the "upper slope" and "lower slope" sliders 252 and 248, respectively.

The rotation buttons "<", "v", and ">" are used for rotating the images on the screen. The arrows indicate the current direction of the top of the image. For example, if the currently displayed image is upside down, the "v" button should be used to bring it right side up.

The "SHOW" button allows the user to display the locations of the pixels which have density values within a specified range. The range can be specified either of two ways. The first way is to use the "pivot density" and the "pivot range" sliders 244 and 246, respectively. The second way is to

move the cursor to the histogram canvas 45 and use the mouse 31 to click the beginning and the end densities to specify the range. The pixels within the range will show up on the optical print canvas 40 as white, and the rest as black. The "SHOW" button is a toggle switch which allows the user to alternate between the simulated optical print 44 and the pixel locations. The use of the histogram canvas 45 and the pixel location image is the most effective way to determine which areas of the input image 44 have certain specified densities. One example is for printing a flash-in-the-face scene. The histogram is usually bimodal. The user can use the mouse 31 to specify the density range around a histogram valley 49 and use the "SHOW" button to see if those pixels all fall on the boundaries of objects. If yes, one can compress that density range quite freely without worrying too much about possible artifacts (but not below 0.3).

The "Reset slope" button is useful when the user has gone too far in changing the slope values. The button will bring all the slope values to the initial values estimated by the program. The "Cal" button 254 will prompt the user in a dialog mode for changing the CRT gamma and the status A density to be mapped to CRT code value 128, to adjust the monitor calibration. The "SetROI" button 242 is another way to specify the middle segment of the dynamic range adjustment curve. One can move the cursor 43 to the histogram canvas 45 and specify the two end points of the middle segment. One then hits the "SetROI" button 242 to change the pivot density and the pivot range to that specified in the histogram canvas 45. In doing so, the LOFCA Program tries to maintain roughly the same density balance by estimating what new status A density the new pivot density should be mapped to.

When the user is done with the current image, he/she selects the "output" button to specify the file name where all the parameters are to be stored. The "Quit" button terminates the program immediately.

One final feature applies to both of the image canvases. If the cursor 43 is moved to the image area and the left button A of the mouse 31 is clicked, the program will take a 5 by 5 average of the input densities centered at the location where the cursor 43 points, and print out the value as well as the (x,y) coordinates and the status A density to which the average value is mapped. If the cursor is in the output image area, the averaged value is also marked in the histogram canvas, so that the user can see where in the histogram that density falls relative to the whole image.

Claims

1. An interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images comprising the steps of:

(a) converting a digital image into luminance image signals and chrominance image signals;

(b) separating the low frequency components from the luminance image signals;

(c) modifying, interactively, the separated low frequency components of the luminance image signals by a mapping curve to a density space;

(d) recombining the modified separated low frequency components to the luminance signals of step (b); and

(e) converting and combining the resultant luminance signals of step (d) with the chrominance image signals of step (a) to provide an interactively adjusted digital image.

2. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 1 and further comprising the steps of:

displaying the original digital image;

displaying the interactively adjusted digital image signal; and

displaying the mapping curve of step (c).

3. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 2 wherein the displayed mapping curve is a piecewise linear curve having three segments, a lower slope, mid-slope and upper slope with the ends of the mid-slope segment having one end connected to an end of the lower slope segment and its other end connected to an end of the upper slope segment.

4. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 2 and further comprising the steps of:

displaying a density histogram of the original image; and

displaying interactive values for operator modifications.

5. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 2 and further comprising the steps of:

modifying the interactive adjusted digital image by a display device curve to display the interactive adjusted digital image as it will be printed on paper.

6. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 1 and further comprising the steps of:

modifying the provided interactively adjusted digital image of step (e) by mapping the adjusted digital image through a paper shape curve.

7. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 1 wherein step (c) modifies the separated low frequency components by contrast adjustment and density balance.

8. An interactive dynamic range adjustment method for a digital image comprising the steps of:

(a) converting R,G,B digital image signals into luminance image signals and chrominance image signals;

(b) low-pass filtering the luminance image signals to provide low frequency component luminance image signals;

(c) subtracting the low frequency component luminance image signals from the luminance image signals to provide high frequency component luminance image signals;

(d) modifying, interactively, the low frequency components of the luminance image signals by mapping through a mapping curve to a density space;

(e) modifying the high frequency component luminance image signals by a desired mapping;

(f) recombining the modified low frequency and high frequency components of the luminance image signals of steps (d) and (e); and

(g) converting the recombined luminance image signals of step (f) and the chrominance image signals of step (a) to R,G,B signals to provide an interactively adjusted digital image.

9. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 8 and further comprising the step of:

modifying the provided interactively adjusted digital image of step (g) by mapping the adjusted digital image through a paper shape curve;

10. the interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 8 wherein step (d) modifies the separated low frequency components by contrast adjustment and density balance.

11. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 8 and further comprising:

displaying the original digital image;

displaying the interactively adjusted digital image signal; and

displaying the mapping curve of step (d).

12. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 8 wherein the displayed mapping curve is a piecewise linear curve having three segments, a lower slope, mid-slope and upper slope with the ends of the mid-slope segment having one end connected to an end of the lower slope segment and its other end connected to an end of the upper slope segment.

13. An interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images comprising the steps of:

(a) converting an R,G,B digital image signal into luminance signals and chrominance image signals;

(b) low-pass filtering the luminance image

signals to provide low frequency component luminance image signals;

(c) subtracting the low frequency component luminance image signals from the luminance image signals to provide high frequency component luminance image signals;

(d) modifying, interactively, the low frequency components of the luminance image signals by mapping through a mapping curve to a density space;

(e) recombining the modified low frequency and high frequency components of the luminance image signals of steps (c) and (d); and

(f) converting the recombined luminance image signal of step (e) with the chrominance image signals of step (a) to provide an interactively adjusted R,G,B digital image.

14. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 13 and further comprising the steps of:

modifying the provided interactively adjusted R,G,B digital image of step (f) by mapping the adjusted R,G,B digital image through a paper shape curve.

15. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 13 wherein step (d) modifies the separated low frequency components by contrast adjustment and density balance.

16. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 13 and further comprising:

displaying the original digital image;

displaying the digital image corresponding to the interactively adjusted R,G,B digital image; and

displaying the mapping curve of step (d).

17. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 16 wherein the displayed mapping curve is a piecewise linear curve having three segments, a lower slope, mid-slope and upper slope with the ends of the mid-slope segment having one end connected to an end of the lower slope segment and its other end connected to an end of the upper slope segment.

18. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 13 and further comprising the steps of:

displaying the density histogram of the original digital image; and

displaying interactive values for operator modification.

19. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 13 and further comprising the step of modifying the interactive by adjusted digital R,G,B image by a display device curve to display the interactively adjusted digital image as it will be printed on paper.

20. An interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images comprising the steps of:

(a) converting an R,G,B digital image signal into luminance image signals;

(b) low-pass filtering the luminance image signals to provide low frequency component luminance image signals;

(c) subtracting the low frequency component luminance image signals from the luminance image signals to provide high frequency component luminance image signals;

(d) modifying, interactively, the low frequency components of the luminance image signals by mapping through a mapping curve to a density space;

(e) recombining the modified low frequency and high frequency components of the luminance image signals of steps (c) and (d);

(f) mapping the recombined modified low and high frequency components of the luminance image signals of (e) through a paper shape curve;

(g) mapping an R,G,B digital image signals of step (a) through a paper shape curve;

(h) transforming the mapped R,G,B signals of step (g) into chrominance image signals;

(i) combining the luminance image signals of step (f) and the chrominance image signals of step (h); and

(j) transforming the combined signals from (i) to R,G,B image signals to provide an interactively adjusted digital image.

21. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 20 wherein step (d) modifies the separated low frequency components by contrast adjustment and density balance.

22. the interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 20 and further comprising:

displaying the original digital image;

displaying the digital image corresponding to the interactively adjusted digital image signal; and

displaying the mapping curve of step (d).

23. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 22 wherein the displayed mapping curve is a piecewise linear curve having three segments, a lower slope, mid-slope and upper slope with the ends of the mid-slope segment having one end connected to an end of the lower slope segment and its other end connected to an end of the upper slope segment.

24. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 20 and further comprising the steps of:

displaying the density histogram of the original digital image; and

displaying interactive values for operator modifica-

tion.

25. The interactive dynamic range adjustment method for digital images according to claim 20 and further comprising the step of modifying the interactive adjusted digital image by a display device curve to display the interactive adjusted digital image as it will be printed on paper.

26. An interactive dynamic range adjustment system for digital images comprising:

(a) transformation means (100) for converting a digital image into luminance image signals and chrominance image signals;

(b) filtering means (120) connected to said transformation means for separating the low frequency components from said luminance image signals;

(c) dynamic range means (140) for modifying, interactively, the separated low frequency components of said luminance image signals by a mapping curve to a density space;

(d) means (110, 130, 150) for recombining the modified separated low frequency components with said luminance image signals; and

(e) means (160) for combining and converting the signals from said recombining means with the chrominance image signals from said transformation means (100) to provide an interactively adjusted digital image.

27. The interactive dynamic range adjustment system for digital images according to claim 26 and further comprising:

paper curve means (170) for modifying the provided interactively adjusted digital image to adjust for print paper responses.

28. The interactive dynamic range adjustment system for digital images according to claim 26 wherein said dynamic range means (140) is a programmable look-up table (200).

29. An interactive dynamic range adjustment system for digital images characterized by:

(a) first transformation means (100) for transforming digital R,G,B image signals into luminance image signals and chrominance image signals;

(b) low-pass filtering means (120) for receiving the luminance image signals from said transformation means (100) to provide low frequency component luminance image signals;

(c) first summing means (110) for subtracting the low frequency component luminance image signals from the luminance image signals to provide high frequency component luminance image signals;

(d) dynamic range adjustment means (140) for modifying, interactively, the low frequency components of the luminance image signals from said first transformation means by mapping to a density space;

(e) means (130) for edge sharpening; and

noise suppressing the high frequency component luminance image signals from said first transformation means;

(f) second summing means (150) for recombining the modified low frequency and high frequency components of the luminance image signals; and

(g) second transformation means (160) for receiving the recombined signals from said second summing means (150) and the chrominance signals from said first transformation means (100) to provide an interactively adjusted digital R,G,B image.

30. The interactive dynamic range adjustment system for digital images according to claim 29 and further comprising:

paper curve means (170) for modifying the provided interactively adjusted digital R,G,B image by mapping the adjusted digital R,G,B images through a paper shape curve.

31. An interactive dynamic range adjustment system for digital images characterized by:

(a) first transformation means (300) for transforming digital R,G,B image signals into luminance image signals and chrominance image signals;

(b) low-pass filtering means (320) for receiving the luminance image signals from said transformation means (300) to provide low frequency component luminance image signals;

(c) first summing means (310) for subtracting the low frequency component luminance image signals from the luminance image signals to provide high frequency component luminance image signals;

(d) dynamic range adjustment means (340) for modifying, interactively, the low frequency components of the luminance image signals from said first transformation means by mapping to a density space;

(e) means (330) for edge sharpening and noise suppressing the high frequency component luminance image signals from said first transformation means;

(f) second summing means (350) for recombining the modified low frequency and high frequency components of the luminance image signals;

(g) paper curve means (370) for modifying digital R,G,B image signals by mapping the digital R,G,B image signals through a paper shape curve;

(h) a second transformation means (380) for transforming the mapped digital R,G,B image signals from said paper curve means (370) into chrominance image signals;

(i) a luminance paper shape curve means (360) for modifying the provided summed signal from said second summing means (350) by mapping the provided summed signal through a paper

shape curve; and

(j) third transformation means (390) for receiving the chrominance signals from said second transformation means (380) and the mapped signals from said luminance paper shape curve means (360) to provide an interactively adjusted digital R,G,B image.




FIG. 1







FIG. 2



**FIG. 4**




FIG. 5




FIG. 7



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



Publication number:

0 377 386 A3

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

Application number: 89420500.4

Int. Cl.5: H04N 1/40, H04N 1/46

Date of filing: 20.12.89

Priority: 05.01.89 US 293838

Date of publication of application:
11.07.90 Bulletin 90/28

Designated Contracting States:
DE GB

Date of deferred publication of the search report:
23.10.91 Bulletin 91/43

Applicant: **EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY**
343 State Street
Rochester, New York 14650-2201(US)

Inventor: **Lee, Hsien-Che c/o Eastman Kodak**

Company
Patent Department 343 State Street
Rochester New York 14650(US)
Inventor: Kaplan, Martin Charles c/o Eastman
Kodak Company
Patent Department 343 State Street
Rochester New York 14650(US)
Inventor: Goodwin, Robert Melvin c/o
Eastman Kodak Company
Patent Department 343 State Street
Rochester New York 14650(US)

Representative: Parent, Yves et al
Kodak-Pathé Département Brevets et
Licences CRT 60/1 -Zone Industrielle
F-71102 Chalon-sur-Saône Cédex(FR)

54 An interactive dynamic range adjustment system for printing digital images.

57 An interactive dynamic range adjustment method for printing digital images, and an implementation system, are disclosed. The method is based on experimental findings about visual photoreceptor adaption and human visual contrast sensitivity. The system adjusts the contrast of the low-frequency component only of the image, preserving (or if one wishes, enhancing) the high-frequency image component in its contrast. The adjustment is controlled by a mapping curve which the user manipulates interactively. The simulated optical print image (44) and the dynamic range adjusted image (44') are displayed side by side on a monitor screen (30) so that the user can make proper selection of parameters to achieve the desired effect. For many images, the system automatically computes good parameters and no further adjustment is needed.




FIG. 3

EP 0 377 386 A3



European
Patent Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 89 42 0500

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.5)
X	GB-A-2 194 706 (AGFA-GEVAERT AG) * Abstract; figures 1-10; page 1, line 5 - page 3, line 8; page 4, line 9 - page 7, line 61 *	1,7,8,10, 13,15,26	H 04 N 1/40 H 04 N 1/46
Y		2,5,6,9, 11,14,16, 19,27-29	
A		3,20,21, 23,25,31, 12,17	
Y	GB-A-2 109 191 (KONAN CAMERA RESEARCH INSTITUTE INC.) * Abstract; figures 1,3-5; page 1, line 128 - page 2, line 10; page 2, lines 49-93 *	2,5,6,9, 11,14,16, 19,27	
A		3,4,12,17, 18,20,22, 24,25,30, 31	
Y	EP-A-0 070 680 (CROSFIELD ELECTRONICS LTD) * Abstract; figures 1,2; page 6, lines 6-17 *	28	
Y	US-A-4 689 666 (HATANAKA) * Abstract; figures 1,2; column 4, line 46 - column 5, line 10 *	29	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.5)
A		31	H 04 N 1/00
A	WO-A-8 303 941 (W.F. SCHREIBER) * Abstract; page 2, line 16 - page 5, line 10; page 10, line 4 - page 12, line 7; page 16, line 25 - page 17, line 11; page 19, line 33 - page 22, line 13, figures 1-5 *	1,2,4-6,8, 9,11, 13-16,18, 22,24-31	
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, vol. 010, no. 101 (P-447), 17th April 1986; & JP-A-60 232 542 (FUJI SHASHIN FILM K.K.) 19-11-1985 * The whole document *	1,2,5,7,8, 11,13,16, 20,22,25, 26,29,31	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search The Hague		Date of completion of search 05 August 91	Examiner KASSOW H.
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X: particularly relevant if taken alone Y: particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A: technological background O: non-written disclosure P: intermediate document T: theory or principle underlying the invention E: earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D: document cited in the application L: document cited for other reasons &: member of the same patent family, corresponding document			